# IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 8316 of 2005**
**To**
**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 8349 of 2005**

**For Approval and Signature:**

**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA**
**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL**

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

**SOJA TEJANA AND TELEBIYA UTPADAK SAHAKRI MANDALI - Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

**STATE OF GUJARAT & 6 - Respondent(s)**

==========

**Appearance :**
MR JC VYAS for Petitioner No(s).: 1.
None for Respondent No(s).: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,7.

==========

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA**
**and**
**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL**

**Date : 01/08/2005**

**COMMON ORAL JUDGMENT (Per : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA)**

Rule. Learned AGP Shri Gori, appearing on the

advance copy of these petitions being served upon him, waives notice for the respondents.

2. Gujarat Spices and Oilseeds Growers' Cooperative Union (for short, 'the Union') had initially filed one petition i.e. Special Civil Application No.7402 of 2005. Thereafter, the present petitioners the members of the said Union have filed these separate one-page petitions praying similar reliefs which have been prayed in the main Special Civil Application No.7402 of 2005 filed by their Union in which additional affidavit is filed today by the respondents and the said matter is adjourned to 16th August, 2005, on the request of Shri Vyas for the petitioner.

3. Today, it was agreed by both the learned counsel for the parties that they will abide by the ultimate decision rendered by this court in main Special Civil Application No.7402 of 2005 and the result thereof will be binding to the parties and accordingly, all these petitions be disposed of.

4. Accordingly, all these petitions are disposed of. Rule discharged in all these petitions with no order as to costs.

( B.J. Shethna, J. )

( M.C. Patel, J. )

hki